"Writing the truth as I see it; trying not to offend those who will

disagree."

The truth as I see it[™]

Idaho Common Sense[™]



Craig L. Bosley, MD

The Ivy Leagues vs the Supreme Court October 22, 2007

Stanford and several other "Ivy League" universities continue to disregard the Supreme Court ruling of March, 2006 which states they must either allow ROTC and military recruiters on campus or lose federal Stanford argues they must funding. refuse to allow ROTC on campus because the military is discriminating against homosexual individuals. This stalemate came to a head in 1996 when Congress passed the Solomon Amendment allowing the Secretary of Defense to deny federal funding to institutions of higher learning if they prevent ROTC or military recruitment FAIR (Forum for on campus. Academic and Institutional Rights), an association of 36 law schools and law faculties challenged this in the Third Circuit Court. The Third Circuit held that the Solomon Amendment was unconstitutional under the First Amendment. However, the United States Supreme Court reversed the Third Circuit, unanimously upholding the constitutionality of the Solomon Amendment. In spite of this, Stanford and other elite universities continue to resist allowing ROTC on campus.

Stanford asserts it must "maintain the highest academic standards for its degrees" and ROTC classes do not meet those quality standards. Interestingly, classes such as "Badminton, Beginning and Intermediate" do meet the "highest academic standards" demanded by Stanford while "The Evolution of the United States Air and Space Power" does not.

Stanford is one of those elite universities most of us did not have the money to attend. It is one of those that believe they have the very best and But who is actually brightest. discriminating, the military or Stanford? The military is not about expanding your horizons, exploring diversity, and challenging the status quo. The military about war and war demands dramatically different rules The military's mission regulations. requires numerous regulations I believe would be considered discriminatory in a civilian setting. However, in the setting of the armed forces they are reasonable, necessary, and appropriate. It is not the military that is discriminatory; it is Stanford University that discriminatory. Stanford claims diversity but only allows the diversity that supports their agenda. Lieutenant Colonel Randolph C. White, Jr. describes these elite university leaders as "having great sounding titles and published articles and ready with advice but never ready to pick up a rifle, ruck up, and close with the enemy!"

Do not look to Stanford, Yale, Harvard, Columbia, or Brown for lessons on principle and character. Since The Vietnam War they have maintained an ongoing list of everchanging excuses to keep ROTC off their campuses. Instead, genuine character and principled stance can be seen in the handful of Stanford students who commute to other universities for ROTC training. These few endure hardship and discrimination while maintaining their commitment to their ROTC training. It is not Stanford, but ROTC students who maintained the "highest standards". The ROTC students understand what Lieutenant Colonel White means when he says "the moral clarity of the United States soldier will not allow them to sit back allowing someone else to provide the blanket of freedom we cherish."

Perhaps eliminating the draft some 30 years ago and going to an all volunteer army was an error. eliminating the draft have we allowed a class of individuals to develop who feel no obligation or duty to their country? Have we allowed a class of individuals develop who find themselves superior to the rest of us? With 70% of the officers in the armed forces coming from university ROTC programs, shouldn't we expect the elites to do their share as long as they are accepting federal money? If these universities genuinely believe the military is discriminatory would it not be to their advantage to contribute well educated officers to the military who would then be in a position to initiate change?

The ROTC students Stanford treats poorly joined the military during a time of war knowing full well the ramifications of their decision. These students should be honored for their loyalty and courage while Stanford should be humbled and embarrassed. Lieutenant Colonel White suggests that Stanford-like elitists "will always exist on the periphery of any endeavor that requires selfless service or loyalty. They are not worthy of concern. And in the pit of their stomach they wish they could be like the American soldier. The intestinal fortitude that is a part of the soldier's fabric is something they covet but will never know."

The Supreme Court has ruled. The legal challenges are done. Ask our Senators and Representatives to demand adherence to the law or cessation of any and all federal money to those institutions who do not comply. Now that I think about it, I'm glad I didn't have the money to attend a "Stanford"!

You can view the entire speech of Lieutenant Colonel Randolph C. White, Jr. at:

> https://www.infantry.army.mil/vide os/video22/index.htm

Dr. Craig Bosley is an emergency physician practicing in Pocatello, Idaho. His column appears in the Idaho State Journal each Monday. If you would like to contact him directly, you can email him at craig@craigbosley.com or visit his Website, www.craigbosley.com where all of his columns are available.

© copyright, Craig L. Bosley, MD, 2007